BLDE ASSOCIATION, VIJAYAPUR Yearly Performance Evaluation of

Professors		Associate	Professors		Assistant Professors*			
[*w	[*with more than EIGHT years of experience]							
Academic Year		Discipline						
		Science	Pharmacy	Nurs	ing Engineering Ay		Ayurv	reda

Name of staff member:_____

Name of the College:

Department: ______, Biometric ID No._____

Current Designation: ______, Total Teaching Experience: _____

Academic Qualifications:

Examination	Name of the Board/University	Year of passing	% of marks obtained	Division/ Class/Grade
UG				
PG				
PhD				
Any other				

PART I: Principal Evaluation (Max Points: 30)

Sl. No	Key performance indicators	Max points	Points scored
01	Performance evaluation of faculty based on special assigned duties pertaining to college/university/board in addition to teaching and research.	30	
	Total	30	

PART II: HOD's Evaluation (Max Points: 20)

Sl. No	Key performance indicators	Max points	Points scored	
01	Punctuality:	5		
	Availability in college, engaging classes regularly and for			
	stipulated period.			
02	Ability of teaching:	5		
	Capable of making the subject more clear for students			
	Syllabus coverage within stipulated time			
	Dedication for students			
	Availability for students to clear doubts			
03	Work culture in the department	5		
	Cordial relationship with colleagues, sharing responsibility,			
	volunteer involvement in departmental activities			
04	Compliance with exam related activities	5		
	Accepts and delivers exam related responsibilities assigned at			
	department, college and university level sincerely			
	Total	20		

PART III: Evaluation of academic excellence (Max Points: 25)

Sl.	Key performance indicators	Max	Points	Encl.
No		points	scored	No.
01	Teaching innovations	05		
	Ability to use of ICT enabled teaching, If any other			
02	Knowledge up-gradation	10		
	Participation in seminars/workshops (At least one in an			
	year) OR Participation in apex bodies/professional bodies			
03	Organization of seminars/conferences/workshops	05		
	As organizing secretary/ convener,			
	Getting sponsorship from professional funding agencies			
	for seminars/conferences			
04	Contributions in student's	05		
	placements/counseling/NSS/NCC. OR Contributions in			
	policy making bodies as Coordinators			
	Total	25		

PART IV: Evaluation of professional excellence (Max Points: 30)

Sl.	Key performance indicators	Max	Points	Encl.
No		points	scored	No.
01	Guest lectures delivered in conference/seminars/others	05		
	(Relevancy should be considered)			
02	For presenting paper in conference/seminars/chairing	05		
	session/serving as evaluator.			
	Conference/seminars should be either			
	state/national/international level and it should be relevant to the			
	profession. The presenting author/supervisor/mentor will get the			
	following points.			
	State/National conference: 2.5points			
	International conference: 5 points			
	For chairing session/serving as evaluator: 5 points			
	OR FDP attended (minimum of one week) Online FDP			
	(minimum of six weeks)			
03	Research Guidance (UG mini projects, PG and Ph. D	10		
	Projects)/ Guidance to internship Projects			
04	Patents/Copy rights/trademarks/GI (Filed: 5 points,	05		
	Granted: 10 points)			
	[For Nursing & Ayurveda faculty: Copy right & GI]			
05	Serving as reviewer for reputed journals*	05		
	National indexed journals ISSN No: 2.5 point			
	International indexed journals with ISSN No: 5 points			
	* In case of open access journals, only reputed and indexed			
	journals are considered. OR			
	Serving as editor/editorial board member for reputed			
	journals*			
	National indexed journal with ISSN No: 2.5 points			
	International indexed journals with ISSN No: 5 points			
	* In case of open access journals, only reputed and indexed			
	journals are considered.			
	Total	30		

PART V: Students feed-back for faculty evaluation (Max Points: 10)

Sl.	Key performance indicators	Max	Points
No		points	scored
01	A standard feed-back from students has to be collected at the end	10	
	of academic year. Minimum of 80% of students should be present		
	for evaluation procedure. Those students should have at least 80%		
	attendance in their academic year.		
	(above 80% 10 points, 70-79% 8 points, 60-69% 6 points, 50-		
	59% 4 points and below 50% 2 points)		
	Total	10	

PART VI: Examination results (Max Points: 30)

Sl. No	Key performance indicators	Max points	Points scored	Encl No.
01	Students passing rate of 76 to100%	30		
02	Students passing rate of 51 to75%	25		
03	Students passing rate of below 50%	10		
	Total	30		

PART VII: Publications (Max Points: 45)

Sl.	Key performance indicators	Max	Points	Encl.
No		points	scored	No.
01	Original research papers/ Review articles published in	25		
	indexed journals of repute with impact factor per			
	paper**;			
	0 to 1: 10 points			
	1 to 2: 15 points			
	2 to 5: 20 points			
	>5 : 25 points			
	* Impact factors calculated by Thomson Reuters/JCR will be			
	considered; journals own impact factor calculation will not be			
	taken into consideration.			
	* * The points for joint publications shall be calculated in the			
	following manner: Of the total score of publication by the			
	concerned teacher, the First and corresponding author			
	/supervisor /mentor would share 70% of the total points and the			
	remaining 30% would be shared equally by all other authors**.			
02	Original research papers published in UGC approved	10		
	journal of repute with ISSN (5 points per paper)	10		
03	Books/Book chapters	10		
	Books/book chapters with ISBN numbers should be			
	published as first or corresponding author with the			
	reputed publishers.			
	Total	45		

PART VIII: Research grants/Funded projects & Consultancy (Max Points: 10)

Sl. No	Key performance indicators	Max points	Points scored	Encl. No.
01	The principal investigator will get the following points for research grants received from external funding agencies/internal funding. Research proposals submitted: 1.5 points (only one per year is considered) Research Grants Received: Up to 2 Lakh: 3 points , above 2.0 Lakh: 5 points	05		
02	Consultancy work (Paid/Honorary)	05		
	Total	10		

* Please enclose relevant documents whenever necessary.

Grand total: Part I+II+III+IV+V+VI+VII+VIII = 200 points

	Part-I (30)	Part-II (20)	Part- III	Part- IV	Part- V	Part- VI	Part- VII	Part- VIII	TOTAL
			(25)	(30)	(10)	(30)	(45)	(10)	
Self									
Score									
Evalua									
tors									
Score									

Total points scored:

Scale:

Scale Bar for Performance Evaluation

To evaluate the performance of staff members, the quantitative and qualitative measures will

be taken into consideration. The scale of 1-5 will be used for the performance measurement as follows.

1: Poor, 2: Average, 3: Above-Average, 4: Good, and 5: Excellent.

Table: Scale bar for performance evaluation

Scale	Description	Teaching staff points
1	Poor	110 and Below
2	Average	111-130
3	Above-Average	131-150
4	Good	151-170
5	Excellent	171 and Above

Signature of Staff